

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,610	10/03/2003	Gilbert W. McKenna	56229-160(ANAK-225)	9424	
7:	590 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
Toby H. Kusmer, Esq.			CHURCH,	CHURCH, CRAIG E	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/678,610	MCKENNA, GILBERT W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Craig E. Church	2882			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron atute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers	. •				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/12/03</u> .					

Application/Control Number: 10/678,610

Art Unit: 2882

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a Bucky comprising a grid, does not reasonably provide enablement for a Bucky that does not have a grid. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with this claim.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagano (6088427). Pagano teaches Bucky apparatus comprising abtiscatter grid 16 supported for oscillation in housing 14, motor 20, crank19 linked to grid 16 via arm 18, counterweight 23 and crank 21 linked to counterweight 23 via arm 22. Rotary motion of the motor is converted to linear motion by cranks 19 and 21 so that grid 16 is reciprocated in one direction, and counterweight 23 is reciprocated in the opposite direction. Counterweight 23 balances the mass of grid 16 in its reciprocating motion (lines 9-10 of column 4). Pagano does not disclosed use of cams, but cams are a notorious alternative to cranks for converting rotary motion to linear motion, and it would

Application/Control Number: 10/678,610

Art Unit: 2882

have been obvious to substitute a cam mechanism for the crank drive in Pagano's

system since cams provide quieter operation than cranks (an important consideration in

medical imaging).

Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Pagano as above in view of Hill (3980407). Hill teaches an x-ray shutter including an

endless belt 22 reeved around a drive pulley 20 and an idler pulley 21, a reversible

motor 25 for driving pulley 20, and shutter plates 23 and 24 attached to different

sections of the belt so that they are reciprocated in opposite directions. Endless belt

drives are notorious mechanisms for converting rotary motion to linear motion, and it

would have been obvious to substitute such a belt mechanism for the crank drive in

Pagano's system since belt drives provide less vibration and quieter operation than

cranks (important considerations in medical imaging).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Craig

E. Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Chang E Church

Page 3

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882